

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/813,904	KALFAS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ANDREW YANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 3775	

**All Participants:**

(1) ANDREW YANG.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) RORY PHEIFFER.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 August 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 60

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andrew Yang/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3775

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested incorporating claim 61 into claim 60 to put the application in condition for allowance. The attorney did not agree, however suggested changes to claim 60 which were faxed to the Examiner (Attached hereto). The Examiner agreed to the changes and pointed out a few errors regarding 112 issues which were corrected..